| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-----------------|---------------|
| | 09/773,036 | PROBST ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Gregg Cantelmo | 1745 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: Abandoned | | |
| (1) <u>Gregg Cantelmo</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Mr. Michael F. Scalise. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>15 September 2004</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative confirmed that the instant application has been abandoned | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| Examiner SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |